

Pupil Premium Strategy Statement

| 1. Summary information | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|----------|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| School | Mossbourne | ossbourne Parkside Academy | | | | | | | |
| Academic Year | 2020- 2021 | Total PP budget | £267,960 | Date of most recent PP Review | September 2020 | | | | |
| Total number of pupils | 417 | Number of pupils eligible for PP | 203 | Date for next internal review strategy | September 2021 | | | | |

| 2. Cu | irrent attainment, September 2020 | | | | | |
|---------|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | Pupils qualifying for PP grant | Pupils who do not qualify for the PP grant | Hackney average for pupils qualifying for PP grant | | |
| % of Y6 | 6 pupils at the expected standard in reading | 59% | 57% | 66% | | |
| % of Ye | 6 pupils at the expected standard in writing | 30% | 43% | 81% | | |
| % of Ye | 6 pupils at the expected standard in mathematics | 24% | 24% 43% | | | |
| % of Ye | 6 pupils at the expected standard in reading, writing and mathematics | 22% | 43% | 59% | | |
| | arriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP) mic barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills) | | | | | |
| Α. | Slow prior progress | | | | | |
| В. | Low prior attainment | | | | | |
| C. | Low parental engagement | | | | | |
| | | ndance rates) | | | | |
| Additio | onal barriers (including issues which also require action outside school, such as low atte | | | | | |

| 4. Int | ended outcomes (specific outcomes and how they will be measured) | Success criteria |
|--------|--|---|
| Α. | Attainment increases towards national expectations | % of pupils achieving the expected standard for their age is at national averages or higher |
| В. | Improved parental engagement | More events are run for parents/carers to develop understanding of current methods and how to support children at home. |
| C. | Universal access to enrichment opportunities | No child is unable to access enrichment activities due to financial hardship. |

| Previous Academic Yea | r | 2019-2020 | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|-------------|--------|
| i. Quality of teaching | for all | | | | |
| Action | Intended outcome | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | R A G | Cost |
| Destination Reader Support | Improved achievement and attainment in classes delivering DR | Outcomes improved in Year 2, where this pedagogy was heavily trialled. | This trial has led to the roll out of the program across the Academy, and the Academy's participation in a HLT pilot funded project securing additional support for the next academic year. | | £1,325 |

| RW I. Additional training and support | Improved achievement and attainment in Phonic Screening | Phonic outcomes were not improved for the whole cohort | The delivery of the programme, rather than the programme content, and the monitoring of RWI led to poor phonic outcomes. Next year all teachers delivering RWI will need formal training along with SLT members responsible for monitoring of its delivery. | £943.61 |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|-------------|
| Mathematics Mastery Programme | Improved achievement and attainment in MM year groups | In-school tracking shows good rates of progress for both groups, at a similar rate in the years MM was delivered. | Continue to roll out throughout the Academy. | £3,392 |
| Additional Teachers | Reduce teaching group size in years of most need. | Outcomes for year 6 increased by 15%, 14% & 18% in SPAG, Reading & Maths respectively year-on-year for the whole cohort. | Year 6 and 5 benefitted the most from the approach, after accounting for in-year staffing alterations. Reducing teaching group size enabled more precise targeting and support of pupils most in need of support. Continue with this approach next year securing high- quality teachers to deliver the sessions throughout the whole year. | £219,824.18 |

| Action | Intended outcome | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | R A G | Cost |
|--------------------|---|---|---|-------------|--------|
| Provision of Lexia | Improved achievement and attainment in Phonic Screening | | Running the session at the start of the day led to poor attendance. Next year run the sessions at the end of the day, directly after school ends. | | £1,975 |

| Booster classes for Years | Improved outcomes in | Outcomes year-on-year for whole | This, possibly along with other approaches adopted in | £1,500 |
|--|--|---|---|-----------|
| 2&6 | years 2 & 6 | cohorts in Year 6 increased by 15%, 14% & 18% in SPAG, Reading & | these year groups had the combined impact of raising attainment. | |
| | | Maths respectively. | | |
| | | Year 2 outcomes also increased by 12%, 14% & 14% in Reading, Writing & Maths respectively. | Next year continue the groups, using tracking data along with predictions to target precisely the intervention(s). | |
| Breakfast Reading Group | Promote a joy for reading in targeted children and boost progress | Enjoyment for reading in this group increased, along with parental engagement. | Successful in raising the profile of reading with these families. This has not translated to direct improvement in academic outcomes. | £2,860 |
| Morning Intervention Group | Year 2 children achieve a 'pass' for the phonic screen | 1 child in the cohort achieved the expected standard | Ineffective approach. Next year this resource should be spent at improving the robustness of the Lexia provision and associated small group | £3,150.48 |
| Provision of in-school counselling | Support most vulnerable children | Children have the ability to talk to a trusted adult and consequently more ready to learn when entering the classroom. | Maintain the provision for next year to aid in meeting the needs of our vulnerable pupils. This resource also was successful in the support of our pupils in the lead- in to SATs. | £15,400 |
| Improvements to sensory provision through the creation of a Sensory Room. | Safe space for children who need their sensory needs addressed to be ready for the classroom. | Space is frequently used and is successful in helping meet the sensory needs of identified pupils. | One off cost. Future costs will be to maintain the provision in line with the current needs of the cohort. | £5,110 |

| iii. Other approaches | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|---|---|-------------|------|
| Action | Intended outcome | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | R A G | Cost |

| Learning Mentor | Support is available throughout the day on both a planned and ad- hoc basis | Behaviour incidents decreased year- on-year. | Maintain the provision and look for methods of maximising the time our Learning Mentor can spend supporting children directly in-class. | £30,837 |
|---|--|---|--|------------|
| Financial support for trips | All pupils have access to a range of trips | The Academy did not decline a place to any pupil based upon ability to pay last year. | Consider a range of approaches next year to fund the shortfalls in other ways. | £10,226.50 |
| Enrichment club provision | Pupils have opportunity to experience a range of sports and creative disciplines. | Provide enrichment offer to all children, regardless of capacity to pay. | The Academy did not decline a place to any pupil based upon ability to pay last year, and pro- actively offer the provision to key children. | £10,247.55 |
| Contracting of Attendance & Punctuality Officer | Increase attendance and decrease Persistent Absence | Attendance increased to 96.5%. PA down to 7.4%, both values outperform national averages. | Very effective in engaging children with their own, and class' attendance performance. | £6,844 |
| Attendance Incentives | | | | £127.20 |

| 6. Planned expenditure | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|---|---|------------|---|
| Academic year | 2020-2021 | | | | |
| i. Quality of teaching for | rall | | | | |
| Action | Intended outcome | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? |

| Use expanded SLT to improve teaching and learning | Boost support and monitoring for quality first teaching | Proven impact last academic year | Regular monitoring, coaching will feed into subject and personal development | JA | Termly |
|---|--|--|---|------------------------------|-------------|
| RWI Additional training and support | Improved achievement and attainment in Phonic Screening | Proven scheme | Regular monitoring of teaching input, triangulated with frequent phonic checks and analysis so that groups are constructed dependent upon pupil needs. | CR | Half termly |
| Purchase additional resources | Ensure that pupils are reading suitable books at home and getting the opportunity to practise their maths, reading, spelling, writing and comprehension skills | Proven schemes: OUP reading schemes White Rose Testbase Schofield and Sims CGP Third Space | Rigorous monitoring of use | S CH SC JD CR CE | Half termly |
| | | | Τι | otal budgeted cost | £190,000 |

| ii. Targeted support | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|------------|---|
| Action | Intended outcome | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? |
| Provision of Lexia | Improved achievement and attainment in Phonic Screening | Cost effective intervention, with proven impact within The Federation | Rigorous monitoring of use and analysis of generated performance information | CE | Half termly |
| Provision of in-school counselling | Support most vulnerable children | Effective method of meeting pupils more complex needs | Termly reports and reviews of pupil allocation | CE | End of year |
| Total budgeted cost | | | | | £ 37,000 |

| iii. Other approaches | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|------------|--------------------------------------|
| Action | Intended outcome | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? |
| Learning Mentor | Support is available throughout the day on both a planned and ad- hoc basis | Proven impact upon improving and maintain high levels of behaviour a pupil engagement. | Weekly reviews of behaviour data. Frequent reviews of timetabling against current pupil needs | CE | Half termly |
| Contracting of Attendance & Punctuality Officer. Attendance Incentives | Increase attendance and decrease Persistent Absence | Proven impact in improving and maintaining attendance and reducing persistent absence. | Weekly monitoring of attendance rates. | CE | Termly |
| Total budgeted cost | | | | | £40,000 |